

のなみ ひろし
野波 寛 教授

専門分野・キーワード

- 社会心理学 ●正当性
- 迷惑施設
- シミュレーション・ゲーミング

／ 教育・研究内容

現在の日本社会では、廃棄物の処分場(特に、放射性廃棄物)や原発、在日米軍基地……などの是非をめぐる、いろいろな立場の人々が意見を述べ、議論が広がるが多くなっています。

この種の公共施設の是非や、そのほか様々な公共政策の決定場面には、利害や価値観の異なる多様な人々が関わっています。こうした多様な人々が、お互いの利害や価値観のちがいを超えて合意を作り、政策をスムーズに決定したり運営したりするには、どうすればいいのでしょうか？ どんな方法、どんな考え方をとれば、合意が作れるのでしょうか？

このような、みんなの利害に関わる決定が求められる場面では、結局のところ、「誰(何者)がその決定を行う権利を持つべきか」が問題になります。「どんな決定をすべきか」の合意を作る前に、まず「誰が決定すべきか」についての合意を作らなければ、スムーズな合意形成はできないでしょう。つまり、決定を行う権利の正当性を、みんながお互いにどのように評価しあっているのか、が問われることになるのです。

権利の正当性という概念について、私たちはだん、ほとんど考えることもありません。権利とか正当性とかいったものは、一見したところあまりに当たり前すぎて、かえって気づきにくいからです。でも、「みんなの利害に関わる決定」をめぐる、「その決定を行う権利を誰が持つべきか、誰が決定すればみんながその決定を受容するか」が問われ、ところが実際には「誰が正当な権利を持つべきか」の判断が人ごとに異なるために、誰の決定も受け入れられず、合意が進まない……このような事態は、私たちの身のまわりにたくさんあるのです。つまり、権利の正当性を判断する基準は意外にも人ごとに異なり、なかなか一致しないものなのです。なぜ一致しないのでしょうか？ どうすれば一致させることができるのでしょうか？

私の研究は、放射性廃棄物処分場や在日米軍基地、その他いろいろな「みんなの利害に関わる決定」の場面に関わる一人ひとりの「権利の正当性」について、社会心理学の視点から、調査や実験、参加型シミュレーション・ゲーミングなどを用いて検証するものです。

／ 代表的な著書・論文等

著書

野波寛 2017 『“誰がなぜゲーム”で問う正当性:職場、学校、原子力施設から国際関係まで、「誰が決めるべきか」を考える模擬体験』ナカニシヤ出版

野波寛 2017 『正当性の社会心理学:海と草原と基地が問う「社会的決定の権利」』ナカニシヤ出版(野波寛著)

野波寛・岡本卓也・小杉考司(共訳)2010『集団間関係の社会心理学:北米と欧州における理論の系譜と発展』晃洋書房

論文

野波 寛・田代 豊・坂本 剛・大友章司 2016 NIMBY 問題における公平と共感による情動反応:域外多数者の無関心は立地地域少数者の怒りを増幅する? 実験社会心理学研究, 56, 23-32

H. Nonami, Y. Hirose, S. Ohnuma, C. Midden, & S. Ohtomo 2015 Effects of voice and similarity on procedural fairness and trust: A dual process model of public acceptance based on representatives' participation. Asian Journal of Social Psychology, 18, 216-224

野波寛・土屋博樹・桜井国俊 2014 NIMBY としての在日米軍基地をめぐる多様なアクターの正当性:公共政策の決定権に対する当事者・非当事者による承認過程. 実験社会心理学研究, 54, 40-54

野波寛 2014 正当性への視点をもたらす研究と実践:海と草原とゲームからガバナンスにおける権利を問う. コミュニティ心理学研究, 17, 131-144

野波寛・蘇米雅・ハス額ル敦・坂本剛 2014 コモンズとしての牧草地の管理権をめぐる正当性の相互承認構造:内モンゴル自治区における牧民・行政職員・都市住民の制度的基盤と認知的基盤. 実験社会心理学研究, 53, 116-130

野波寛・加藤潤三 2012 法規性は正当性に対する信頼性の影響を阻害する?:沖縄県におけるコモンズの管理権をめぐる多様なアクターの制度的基盤と認知的基盤. 社会心理学研究, 28, 1-12

野波寛 2011 コモンズの管理と公共政策に関わる多様なアクターの権利:正当性の相互承認構造に関する実証と教育を目的とした「誰がなぜゲーム」の開発. シミュレーション&ゲーミング, 21, 115-124

／ 研究紹介のホームページなど追加情報

研究テーマや論文などについては、私の HP でくわしく紹介しています。

<http://soc-kg.jp/cms/index.html>

研究や教育用に作ったゲームの情報もアップしています。ゲームを使った研究、などというものに興味があれば参考にしてください。

Professor Hiroshi Nonami

Areas of Expertise / Keywords

Social psychology

Legitimacy

Nuisance facilities

Simulation and Gaming

In today's Japanese society, people from various standpoints often express their opinions and debates over the pros and cons of waste disposal sites (especially radioactive waste), nuclear power plants, and United States (US) military bases in Japan.

A wide variety of people with different interests and values are involved in the decision-making process regarding these types of public facilities and various other public policies. How can such a diverse group of people reach a consensus that transcends the differences in their interests and values, and smoothly make and manage policy decisions? What methods and approaches can be used to build them?

In situations where decisions that affect everyone's interests are required, the question ultimately becomes, "Who should have the right to make those decisions?" Before agreeing on "what decision should be made," we must first agree on "who should make the decision." Otherwise, we will not be able to form a smooth consensus. In other words, it is the question of how everyone evaluates each other's right to make decisions.

We rarely think about the concept of legitimacy of rights because, at first glance, rights and legitimacy are too obvious to notice. However, when the question of "who should have the right to make the decision" and "who should make the decision so that everyone will accept it" is raised over "a decision that affects everyone's interests." This is because the judgment on "who should have the legitimate right" differs from person to person. In the end, no one's decision is accepted and no consensus is reached. There are many similar situations around us. In other words, the criteria for judging the legitimacy of rights are surprisingly different from person to person, which makes it difficult to agree on. Why do they not match? How can we make them coincide?

My research examines the "legitimacy of rights" of each individual involved in situations such as radioactive waste disposal sites, US military bases in Japan, and various other

“decisions that affect everyone’s interests” from the perspective of social psychology, using surveys, experiments, and participatory simulation gaming.

Main Publications

Books

Nonami, Hiroshi (2017) "Legitimacy through the "Who's Why Game": A Simulation of Who Should Decide in Workplaces, Schools, Nuclear Facilities, and International Relations," Nakanishiya Publishing Co.

Nonami, Hiroshi 2017 "The Social Psychology of Legitimacy: The Right to Social Decisions in the Sea, the Meadow, and the Base," Nakanishiya Publishing Co.

Nonami, H., Okamoto, T., and Kosugi, K. (co-translators) 2010 Social psychology of intergroup relations: theories and their development in North America and Europe, Koyo Shobo

Papers

Nonami, H., Hirose, Y., Ohnuma, S., Midden, C., Ohtomo, S., 2015. Effects of voice and similarity on procedural fairness and trust: A dual Process model of public Acceptance based on representatives’ participation. Effects of voice and similarity on procedural fairness and trust: A dual-Process model of public Acceptance based on representatives’ participation. *Asian Journal of Social Psychology*, 18(3), 216-224

Nonami, H., S. Ohtomo, G. Sakamoto, & Y. Tahiro. 2016. Effects of equity and empathy on emotional Responses around NIMBY: Do Indifferences of People in Outside Area Evoke Anger among People in Located Area? *The Japanese Journal of Experimental Social Psychology* 56(1), 23-32. DOI: [10.2130/jjesp.1518](https://doi.org/10.2130/jjesp.1518)

Nonami, H., S. Ohtomo, G. Sakamoto, Y. Tahiro, & T. Aoki 2019 A Context to Inhibit Superior Legitimization of the Concerned Party in an NIMBY Problem: Presentation of Future Generations in “Who & Why Game” Focusing on a High-Level Radioactive Waste Storage Facility. *Japanese Journal of Risk Analysis* 28(2), 81-93

Nonami, H., S. Ohtomo, G. Sakamoto, Y. Tahiro, and T. Aoki 2021 Superior legitimization of a concerned party in the NIMBY problem as a moral dilemma: Case of geological disposal facility of High-Level Radioactive waste. *Journal of Environmental Information Science*, 2020, 2, 47-57. https://doi.org/10.11492/ceispapersen.2020.2_47

Nonami, H., G. Sakamoto, S. Ohtomo, Y. Tahiro, & T. Aoki 2021 Pitfalls of Prioritizing the Decision of Concerned Parties in NIMBY Issues and How to Avoid Them: Multi-Polarization of Concerned Parties Through “Who & Why Games” Regarding a High-Level Radioactive Waste Storage Facility and a Nursery School. *Studies in Simulation and Gaming*, 31(2), 141-155. doi: [10.32165/jasag.31.2_143](https://doi.org/10.32165/jasag.31.2_143)

- Nonami H., G. Sakamoto, Ohtomo S, Tashiro, & T. Aoki 2021 Why Are Concerned Parties Legitimized Superiorly in NIMBY Problems: Effects of the Maximin Principle and Moral Foundations on the Right to Decide on a High-level Radioactive Waste Storage Facility. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology* DOI: [10.2130/jjesp.2103](https://doi.org/10.2130/jjesp.2103).
- Nonami, H., M. Su, M. Hashi, & G. Sakamoto, 2014 Mutual approval structure of legitimacy around right to Manage Glass-Land as commons: Institutional substance and Perceived Substance Among herdsmen, civil servants, and urban residents in the Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region. *Japanese Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 53, 116-130
- Nonami, Hiroshi, Shoji Ohtomo, Go Sakamoto Yutaka Tashiro, & Toshiaki Aoki 2021 A Context to Inhibit Superior Legitimization of the Concerned Party in an NIMBY Problem: Presentation of Future Generations in “Who & Why Game” Focusing on a High-Level Radioactive Waste Storage Facility. *Japanese Journal of Risk Analysis*, 30(3), 161-175. doi: [10.11447/jjra.SRA-0331](https://doi.org/10.11447/jjra.SRA-0331)
- Nonami, Hiroshi, Hiroki Tsuchiya, & Kunitoshi Sakurai 2014 Legitimacy of Various Actors Around American Military Bases in Japan as NIMBY: Approval Processes on Decision Rights of Public Policies Among Concerned Parties and Third Parties. *Japanese Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 54, 40-54

Additional information on my research website

My research topics and papers are introduced in detail on my homepage: <http://soc-kg.jp/cms/index.html>

I have also uploaded information on the games that I have made for research and education purposes. If you are interested in reading them, please refer to this page.